CONA L QUI WELL BEEN OF CORNEIR

## AMERICAN ZIONIST COUNCIL

**515 PARK AVENUE** 

TELEPHONE PLAZA 2-1234

NEW YORK 22, N. Y.

Cable Address: AMZIONIST

AZr-PR

NO. 7-K

TO:

Local Zionist Council Chairmen and Key Community Leaders

May 19, 1961

FROM:

Mrs. Moses P. Epstein, Chairman

Department of Information and Public Relations

SUBJECT: THE EICHMANN CASE

It is now almost six weeks since the Eichmann trial opened in Jerusalem. During this period we have carefully monitored the communications media of the country and in this memorandum will transmit to you an evaluation of the public relations impact of the trial on the American public.

MAY 24 1961

In order to present this evaluation in its proper perspective however, we must review briefly some basic considerations which played a role in the shaping of public opinion on the Eichmann case ever since - just one year ago, on May 23, 1960 - it was announced to the world that Eichmann was in custody in Israel.

The opposition forces in the Arab, Christian and Jewish communities were quick to sense that a trial for Eichmann in Israel with its review of Nazi atrocities would tend to strengthen pro-Zionist sentiment throughout the world and might even expose Nazi-Arab collaboration. Thus, an intensive campaign was instigated to discredit the trial itself, focusing on legalistic arguments.

During the initial weeks, the daily press of the nation was rather sharply divided on the question of the propriety of holding the trial in Israel. It was said that Eichmann would not get a fair trial in Israel. The Protestant and Catholic church press particularly was highly critical of Israel's action. Christian theologians who in the past had criticized Judaism as a religion stressing legalisms above moral considerations were now, strangely enough, critical of the "Jewish abandonment of legalistic precedures" in favor of moral principles. The latent anti-Semites, too, were encouraged (now that they had a vocal ally in the Jewish community, namely, the American Council for Judaism) to enter the fray, sometimes in the most vehement and bitter terms. Producers of TV and

#### = CONSTITUENT ORGANIZATIONS ======

American Jewish League for Israel • Bnsi Zion • Hadassah, Women's Zionist Organization of America • Religious Zionists of America (including Hapoel Hamisrachi Women and Misrachi Women) • Labor Zionist Movement (including Farband, Pioneer Women) • Progressive Zionist League—Hashomer Hatssir • United Labor Zionist Party (Achdut Avodah Poale Zion) • United Zioniste-Revisionists of America • Zionist Organization of America

Radio programs, always happy to feature a controversy, lent themselves to this campaign, not necessarily out of ill motives. The Arabs in this country denounced the trial in the harshest terms.

It became necessary almost from the start, therefore, to conduct an educational campaign on the Eichmann issue to reach molders of public opinion throughout the country, stressing the moral issues involved. As early as June 22, 1960, the AZC distributed its memorandum, "The Case of Adolf Eichmann". This was followed on July 28 by a second note with which additional literature was enclosed. During the early months of 1961 we distributed a kit of materials (see attached sheet) on the Eichmann case to thousands of religious leaders, both Christian and Jewish, as well as to writers, educators, and communications people throughout the country. During this entire period we have been in close touch with the non-Zionist groups all of whom, we are happy to say, saw eye-to-eye with us a this issue; they also engaged in large mailings and, by close coeperation, duplication was avoided.

Some improvements in attitudes on the Eichmann issue became apparent in the fall and early winter, doubtless as a result of the massive educational program in progress. Recognizing this, the hostile groups in late "obrusry and early March, making one last desperate attempt, made strong efforts to revive all the original criticisms and achieved some short-lived successes, notably in the fields of TV and Radio.

One of the difficulties for us - and one which we recognized from the very start - was the unusually long interval (over 10 months) between the capture of Eichmann and the opening of his trial. Taking advantage of the deep interest in the case by the communications people, the opposition thus had a long period of time in which to continue to rehash all of the old arguments: jurisdiction; - should Israel speak for all Jews, - etc.

It had always been our conviction that once the trial got under way and the extent of the barbarism of the Nazi atrocities began to unfold from the lips of the victims themselves, that all extraneous issues would disappear in the face of the harsh realities of the trial's disclosures. The past six weeks have proved that this assumption by and large was the correct one. Editorial comment in the press of the nation and on the air has been overwhelmingly favorable. The legalistic issue, which once seemed so important, has all but disappeared. There is now general agreement that Eichmann could not have gotten a fairer trial anywhere in the world.

There remains however, it should be noted, a small minority of the press, especially in the South and the West, which continues to express opposition to the trial being held in Israel. Also, the church press opposition,

though lessened, continues on a considerable scale. The situation is one that calls for continued vigilance and counteraction as the trial will continue in all probability until late June. There will undoubtedly be continuing comment even after the verdict is given.

Please continue to monitor the press in your area, including the church press, for comments on the Eichmann trial. Should you need assistance in formulating replies to attacks, please call upon us or our nearest AZC Field Office for such help. The enclosed material should be helpful to you. Additional copies are available.

Kindest regards.

MPE:LD Encs.

#### MATERIAL AVAILABLE ON THE EIGHNAMM CASE

The material listed below is available in very limited quantities from this office or from your nearest American Zionist Council area office:

FULL TEXT OF INDICTMENT OF A DOLF EICHMANN, February 21, 1961

NAZIS AND NAZIS COLLABORATORS (PUNISHMENT) LAW, 1950

BIBLIOGRAFHY OF RECENT BOOKS ON ADOLF EICHWAYN, Department of Public Relations, American Zionist Council, March, 1961

THE CASE OF ADOLF EICHMANN, American Zicnist Council, 1961

THE EICHWARN CASE: SOME QUESTIONS & ANSWERS, American Zionist Council, 1961

THE EICHMANN CASE, Anti-Defamation League News Bulletin, March, 1961

John O'Gara, THE EICHMANNI CASE, Reprinted from The Commonweal, May 12, 1961

Dr. S. Andhil Fineberg, EXCERPTS FROM MEMORANDUM ON THE EICHMANN CASE, American Jewish Committee. March 8, 1961

Jacob Robinson, EICHMANN AND THE QUESTION OF JURISDICTION, Reprinted from Commentary, July, 1960

Patrick O'Donovan, REFLECTIONS ON THE EICHMANN TRIAL, Reprinted from The New Republic, Nay 15, 1961

Milton Katz, THE ROLE OF LAW IN INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS AS ILLUSTRATED BY THE EICHMANI CASE, Reprinted from the New Jersey Law Journal, January 12, 1961

Father Adamo, Our Lady of Mount Carmel Rectory, THE IESSON OF EICHIANN'S HATE, Letter to the Editor of the Philadelphia Inquirer, April 29, 1961

Department of Information and Public Relations May, 1961

AZC

## The Philadelphia Inquirer

SATURDAY APRIL 29. 1961

#### Letter of the Day

### The Lesson of Eichmann's Hate

To the Editor of The Inquirer:

There are those who lament the trial of Eichmann. They consider it an unsavory act of vengeance. They imply such behavior is unbecoming to the civilized citizens of our age. Perhaps they even view the God of Abraham and Isaac and Jacob as an anthropomorphic projection of man at his barbaric best.

I do not relish the rise of such lavender-and-lace legallsm which considers crimes as illnesses to be cured. Such soft sentimentalism belittles an even-handed justice which takes a life for a life. It even places legalities above human rights: it would permit an Eichmann to go free because he violated no statute, because he had been kidnaped from his sanctuary in Argentina. But Israel needs no legal justification for this trial. It possesses already six million human justifications. Let justice triumph.

Certainly, it is cruel to punish the insane or irresponsible. But I am left cold by any appeal to spare the cold-blooded criminal. Punish him mercifully, but punish him

No, not for Eichmann shall I shed one tear. Let the tears fall in memory of the tender Anne Franks who were blasted in the springtime of their lives. Let us weep for the babes in arms, the newlyweds so full of hope, the old men and women who spent their final days on earth in a deepening nightmare. Let us hope they are beyond harm now and rest peacefully in Abraham's bosom.

Above all, let the review of the savage slaughter of six million Jews teach all the horror of hate. We can learn from such sufferings that any time we hate others because of their race, or the color of their skin, or their religious way of life, we are sowing the seed of another Eichmann. Let us recognize the truth that Dacháu and New Orleans are kindred places whose cruelties differ in quantity not quality. And so, let us not ask that Eichmann he spared, but rather that we be spared his rebirth in America or any place else in any guise, ever.

FATHER ADAMO
Berlin, N. J. Our Lady of Mount Carmel Rectory

BZCAR

### THE EICHMANN CASE

N HIS PRE-TRIAL deposition to the Israeli police, Adolph Eichmann tried to justify his actions by explaining that he would have killed his own father if he had been ordered to by his superiors. The terrible thing is, I am inclined to believe him.

I don't know how others are reacting to the proceedings at the Eichmann trial. For me the prosecutor's initial description of the Nazi horrors brought back the memories of those days as vividly as if they were yesterday. And if the accounts of the Eichmann trial have this effect on me, I can imagine what a terrible experience it must be for Jews, many of whom lost mothers, fathers, brothers, sisters, sometimes entire families in the death camps.

In discussing the Eichmann trial, then, this is something Christians should not lose sight of. The manner of Eichmann's capture and the trial itself raise many troubling questions of international law. I am not suggesting that these should be ignored, and Jews would certainly be wrong if they equated concern on this score with anti-Semitism or hostility to the state of Israel. But when these questions of legality are considered, it seems to me we must be careful not to forget the central fact behind the Eichmann trial.

This central fact is that, nineteen hundred years after the birth of Christ, a monstrous form of pagan barbarity arose in the heart of Christian Europe. Men born and raised in a Christian nation were caught up in a terrible madness, and from the worship of the one true God they turned to the worship of blood and race—a terrible perversion that led them to their "final solution to the Jewish problem" and ultimately to the "logic" of the gas chamber.

What has already been unfolded at the Eichmann trial does not make pleasant reading, and I would guess that worse is still to come. There is no way I know of to make pretty reading out of the Nazi slaughter houses. And yet, unpalatable as they are, the gas chambers disguised to look like shower rooms, the mass graves the victims were forced to dig before they were killed, the diseased and wasted bodies our troops found when they liberated the camps—these were facts, and they cannot be glossed over. Whatever else is said about the Eichmann trial, it is surely right that we who are Christians remember the six million men, women and children who were coldly and systematically put to death because they were Jews.

For Christians the Eichmann trial seems to me to pose once again two related questions. Could the Nazi horror have sprung full-blown out of nowhere, without centuries of anti-Semitism to nourish and give it strength in secret? And when the dark shadow of Nazism appeared over Germany, was the Christian response to this evil even remotely adequate? To my mind, the painful answer to both questions has to be no.

The sacred liturgy of the Church is sprinkled with references to our roots in Israel. Abraham is our patriarch, and our priests are priests forever according to the order of Melchisedech. In the nuptial Mass, Christian wives are admonished to follow the example of the Jewish holy women, to be dear like Rachel, wise like Rebecca, faithful like Sarah. A few weeks ago when the great Preface of the Easter Vigil was sung, our churches echoed with the references to "our forefathers, the children of Israel." But does our secular history and private conduct reflect this fact? By and large, with noble and notable exceptions, I think not.

Perhaps at this late date it is impossible to estimate with any real accuracy the nature and the depth of the Christian response to Hitler's madness. Perhaps it is better that we simply pay honor to those who spoke up against the rise of barbarism, while we withhold judgment on those who were silent—if only because few of us are able to say with any degree of certainty what we ourselves would do in the face of a similar test. But I think we do have to admit the obvious: for every Christian voice that was raised against Hitler, there were hundreds which were notand this not only in Germany. Here I think, for instance, of the reaction encountered in the pre-war U.S. by a brave priest-friend who had to flee Hitler's wrath. In those days, he told me, many Americans, including many Catholics, were convinced that there must be "something wrong" about a German priest who had to leave his own country.

There are, I suppose, people who will think I underestimate Christian opposition to Hitler; they will say I do not attach enough weight to the papal condemnations of Nazism, or to the utterances of this Bishop or that. But, despite such disagreements, on one thing at least there is now rather general agreement: we know what the Christian response to Hitler should have been, and this must be counted as progress.

I don't think the question of what the relationship between Christian and Jew should be is really very complicated. In matters like this Pope John seems to have a quick, sure instinct; for me he summed the whole thing up recently when he received a delegation of Jewish visitors. Using his baptismal name and going to the Old Testament for his greeting, the Pope threw open his arms to welcome the Jews and said to them: "I am Joseph, your brother." So are we all, so are we all.

# PITTSEURGH CATHOLIC

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE DIOCESE OF PITTSBURGH, PA

Serving the countries of Allegheny, Beaver, Builer, Greene, Laurence and Washington

Fittsburgh Catholic Publishing Associates

Most Rev. John J. Wright, D.D. President

Fev. Danie, H. Brennan, Secretary. John G. Deedy Jr., Editor

Frank Marray, Circulation Manager. James F. McShane Jt., Adverting Mar

Second Class Postage Paid at Eltirburgh, Pa-Published even. Thursuay at 110 Tolirs Ave., Prisbleigh 79. Pa

lei Grant 1-12 w

1844

Thursday, March 30, 1961

# Justice Musmanno Writes on Eichmann Controversy

To the Editor:

The March 2nd issue of your esteemed newspaper carried an editorial critical of the Eichmann trial scheduled to begin in Israel next month. As one who served on the judiciary of the International War Climes Tribunals in Nuremberg, I write to explain that Israel's juri-diction over Adolf Eichmann is authorized by international law.

Very properly you say in your editorial:

"There is no desying that Eichmann should be brought to trial for the crives of which he stands accused. He was Hitter's high executioner and hundreds of thousands of Jews were exterminated in the concentration camps of Auschwitt, Cheliano, Belsen, Sobibor, Treblinka and Madaneck as a direct result of its determination to solve for Germany the so-called Jewish problem."

After this statement that Eichmann should be tried, your contonal sors that the right to bring Eichmann to trial would be the vatio's against which Germany waged and lost the war, or the vatio's against which Germany varied out its programs of marder and enslavement."

There is no doubt that these nations would have the right to try Ecommon. In Erchmonn had been captured while the Nurce being trials were in progress, he would unquestionably have been brought before the judges there. It could even be that to would have been tried before the tribunal over which I presides. But Ecommon was in hiding at the time and continued to hide for 15 years with no one but Israel making an effort to leads him.

He has now to in taken into custody and, he is to be tried, but every people to a session by law. He will be allowed conf-

been disbanded, the United Nations has refused to take jurisdiction. Argentina would not try Eichmann, Germany washed its hands of its eistwhile citizen! Not a nation in the world has showed the signtest desire, inclination or willingness to bring to justice this man who, whether guilty of the death of millions or not, certainly was involved in some way in the fantastic program of wholesale minder in Germany and other parts of Europe. Is it possible that the critics of the Eichmann trial can seriously argue that a per on charged with the claughter of countless helpless men, woman and enforce should go unindicted and untitled?

In the argument against largers trying Eichmann it is said that Israel was not in existence when the crimes of which Eichmann stands charged were committed. If may of course be said that Israel was not actually a separate nation during World War II, but it cannot be asserted that it did not exist as a community of human beings. Moreover, it had a recognized existence as a territory than Eintsh manuale. Many of its inhabitants fought on the same of the Allies. David Ben-Gurion, parsent prime nonest of Asrael, the aldered a rifle in the British Army during the war. Could one remusely argue that those who committed challes in the American colories prior to the monernt triv became incorporated States was formed? The State of Israel is a legal successor of the British-manualed Palestine.

However, and the control personations derived as a successful to the Brillian Government in Palestine, Island is a nation cleaned by the United Nation. Never in noticely was a matrix being that being with the international plessing which as expective. The United Nation who we Estimatin's correspond to the Control of the United States which the control of the Contr

nations and has been proclaimed by the Supreme Cou-United States on numerous occasions.

Although I read your editorial in the spirit in whi written, namely, an inquiry into procedure, I cannot I was very much impressed with the letter written which appeared in your newspaper-last week under ting. "Eichmann Trial Seen Retribution." J. I. wit obvious animus and in a mood which excluded any observation. After asserting that Israel had no rigit Eichmann, he said that the world should "turn the oth and not "sock back." I am wondering how he woul among millions murdered, his own parents had be Would he say in that event that the murderer shoul tried? What would happen to the whole system of murderers, thickes, burglars, and robbers could feel kill, steal and main without fear of being required to society?

After making the absurd assertion of turning the check." J. I. then says that it isn't true that six mill were killed. He says the number was not more that Aside from the fact that I presided over a trial in N where we saw the figures submitted by the execution slives in which they admitted killing in cold blood hon defenciles men women and children, I am wor J. I regards 628,000 nurgored himan beings as a mislapping party.

J. I. in his squidted defense of Eichmann, over tementy to contrain Eichmann with Cardinal Mindszing that they both were coerced into confessions. J. L. soff to credit and certainly does not advance the cause trainty when he compares Adolf Eichmann, an arch attactor sairtly figure of Cardinal Mindszenty. After medical contraints of the compares Eichmann.

the second of the second of the Section of anti-section, the second of the section of the sectio

In view of the last that the trial will be a public one, with the excitors there all jetts of the world in attendance, and mode is picture and the vision common recording photographicals easily ness in the Court, the whole world will be able to judge for itself if the trial will be fair. The prosecution in the Endmann trial will have to consince not only the judges who will presure out the entire world of public opinion that Eighnmann is guilty before it can ask for a conviction.

Could anything be force? Did the Narrs ever give their millions of victions a trial of any kind, much less one like the kind Eichmann will have?

And jet, in spate of all those guarantees of fairness, there are cirtus vino as that Israel should not try Eichmann. They say that Eichmann (noul) be tried clsewhere, but where is this "elsewhere"? The International Tribunal in Nuremberg has

In Female one model, the policy of a value of the policy of a collision A modules on a great of collision that the Coefficient of the United States are also better the second and the collision of the policy of the action of the action of the United States of the Content of the United States Constitute in the Constitu

Extendings could suppose in the same continuous acts of polary and stave trading. They are purchasherer, not meanly, batten which obtain customy of the accused.

And then it is complianted that E j main was kidnapped from Argentina. Whether Eichmenn was toom to brack under compulsion or whether he acreed to go of his own will is a question of fact. Both versions are current. If ne went voiuntarily, there can be no so-called kinnapping. If he was taken to Israel against his will, the forced transportation would still not affect Israel's right to try him. Having jurisidiction of the subject matter, that is, the crime itself, brack has the right to try Eichmann, regardless of how he is brought into the Court which tries him. This is a principle of law recognized by all

Proof to be a local to be patheto ettempt at classic a control to the configuration said at once, that they was a may a finite of the finite one will be but a faint ech the finite of thing as below with their innocent parents a Farinam a Satama airection.

I am after i that this better is becoming too long. Let say in a helpen in that larace is to be commended, rather criticizen for its coorage, mitative and respect for the law nations, as well as the dignity of mankind, in prosecuting Emann. As I have already said, 15 years have passed since war encourant in all that time no nation showed any international Eichmann, much less trying him.

To allow Eichmann to go untried when he is charged having Committed the most beinous crimes in all historicimes that simply stagger the imagination and freeze the bin their cumulative herror,—would be mockery of justice a further intolerable indignity to the millions who were nidered, according to the indigment, at Eichmann's direction under his specific command.

JUSTICE MICHAEL A. MUSMA Supreme Court of Pennsyl-

Pittsburgh

بعث و