

(12/31/1995)

~~SECRET~~

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6
b7C

Precedence: ROUTINE

Date: 1/3/02

To: New York

Attn: SA [redacted] I-21

From: NEW YORK

POLYGRAPH UNIT/A-1

Contact: SA [redacted] X8412

b6
b7C

Approved By: [redacted]

Drafted By: [redacted] met

b1
b3

Case ID #: (S) [redacted] (Pending)

Title: (S) [redacted]

b6
b7C

Synopsis: (U) ~~(S)~~ On 11/13-11/16/02, [redacted] was administered a polygraph examination. Those items related to the polygraph were submitted to FBIHQ Polygraph Unit for quality control review. These items, which are enclosed, have been reviewed at FBIHQ and the reviewing Supervisor concurred with the examiner's conclusion.

(U) ~~(S)~~ ~~Derived From : G-3~~
~~Declassify On: X-1~~

Enclosures:

- (U) ~~(S)~~ 2 - Consent to Interview with Polygraph (FD-328)
- 2 - Advice of Rights (FD-395)
- 1 - Polygraph Worksheet (FD-497)
- 1 - Polygraph Report (FD-498)
- 11- Polygraph Charts
- 1 - Computer Disk (Lafayette Computerized Polygraph Examination)

b6
b7C

Details: (U) ~~(S)~~ On 11/13-11/16/01, [redacted] was administered polygraph examinations regarding issues relating to his prior

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

b1
b3

To: New York From: NEW YORK
Re: (S) [REDACTED] 1/3/02

b6
b7C
b7D

knowledge of the September 11 attacks at the World Trade Center and [REDACTED] It was the opinion of the Examiner that [REDACTED] recorded physiological responses to relevant questions relating to the prior knowledge issue were not indicative of deception and responses to relevant questions relating to the issue of Intelligence affiliation were indicative of deception. The items generated as a result of these examinations were forward to the FBIHQ Polygraph Unit for quality control review and the reviewing Supervisor concurred with examiner's conclusion. The enclosed items are maintained in 1A envelope.♦

~~SECRET~~